

In the United States Court of Federal Claims

OFFICE OF SPECIAL MASTERS

No. 15-453V

Filed: April 8, 2016

Not for Publication

ELAINE BRAUNSTEIN,

*

*

Petitioner,

*

*

Attorneys' fees and costs decision;
respondent does not object

v.

*

*

SECRETARY OF HEALTH
AND HUMAN SERVICES,

*

*

*

Respondent.

*

*

Ronald C. Homer, Boston, MA, for petitioner.

Linda S. Renzi, Washington, DC, for respondent.

MILLMAN, Special Master

DECISION AWARDING ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS¹

On May 4, 2015, petitioner filed a petition for compensation under the National Childhood Vaccine Injury Act, 42 U.S.C. §§ 300aa-10–34 (2012). Petitioner alleged that she suffered a shoulder injury as a result of her receipt of the influenza (“flu”) vaccine on October 29, 2013. On November 24, 2015, the undersigned issued a decision awarding compensation to petitioner based on the parties’ stipulation.

On March 31, 2016, petitioner filed a motion for attorneys’ fees and costs. Petitioner requests attorneys’ fees in the amount of \$12,152.00 and attorneys’ costs in the amount of \$546.21, for a total amount of \$12,698.21. In compliance with General Order #9, petitioner has

¹ Because this unpublished decision contains a reasoned explanation for the special master’s action in this case, the special master intends to post this unpublished decision on the United States Court of Federal Claims’ website, in accordance with the E-Government Act of 2002, 44 U.S.C. § 3501 note (2012) (Federal Management and Promotion of Electronic Government Services). Vaccine Rule 18(b) states that all decisions of the special masters will be made available to the public unless they contain trade secrets or commercial or financial information that is privileged and confidential, or medical or similar information whose disclosure would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy. When such a decision is filed, petitioner has 14 days to identify and move to redact such information prior to the document’s disclosure. If the special master, upon review, agrees that the identified material fits within the banned categories listed above, the special master shall redact such material from public access.

filed a signed statement indicating petitioner incurred no out-of-pocket expenses. On April 8, 2016, respondent filed a response to petitioner's application for attorneys' fees and costs, explaining that she does not object to the amount requested by petitioner, but that her response "should not be construed as an admission, concession, or waiver by respondent to matters raised by petitioner's request for attorneys' fees and costs." Resp. at 1 n.1.

The Vaccine Act permits an award of "reasonable attorneys' fees" and "other costs." 42 U.S.C. § 300aa-15(e)(1). Based on the reasonableness of petitioner's request and the lack of opposition from respondent, the undersigned **GRANTS** petitioner's motion for attorneys' fees and costs. The undersigned awards **\$12,698.21**, representing reimbursement for attorneys' fees and costs. The award shall be in the form of a check made payable jointly to petitioner and Conway, Homer & Chin-Caplan, P.C. in the amount of **\$12,698.21**.

In the absence of a motion for review filed pursuant to RCFC Appendix B, the clerk of the court is directed to enter judgment herewith.²

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: April 8, 2016

s/ Laura D. Millman

Laura D. Millman
Special Master

² Pursuant to Vaccine Rule 11(a), entry of judgment can be expedited by each party, either separately or jointly, filing a notice renouncing the right to seek review.